Psikostudia
Jurnal Psikologi
Volume 15 No. 12| June 2026 : 305 - 318
DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.30872/psikostudia.v15i1

p-ISSN: 2302-2582
e-ISSN: 2657-0963

Learning Management System Implementation in Online Education: A Case Study on Student Psychological Dynamics

Implementasi Sistem Manajemen Pembelajaran dalam Pendidikan Online: Studi Kasus Dinamika Psikologis Siswa

[1] Nabrisi Rohid
[1] Universitas PGRI Ronggolawe Tuban, Indonesia
Email: nabrisirohid@unirow.ac.id

[2] Nibrosu Rohid
[2] Universitas PGRI Ronggolawe Tuban, Indonesia
Email: nibrosurohid@unirow.ac.id

[3] Daniel Susilo
[13] INTI International University
Malaysia
Email: daniel.susilo.edu@gmail.com

[4] Sukisno
[2] Universitas PGRI Ronggolawe Tuban, Indonesia
Email: sukisno@unirow.ac.id

Corespodence:
Nabrisi Rohid
Universitas PGRI Ronggolawe Tuban, Indonesia
Email: nabrisirohid@unirow.ac.id

Abstract

Perkembangan pesat teknologi digital telah mentransformasi sistem pendidikan tinggi, khususnya melalui implementasi Learning Management System (LMS) dalam pembelajaran daring. LMS tidak hanya berfungsi sebagai alat teknologi, tetapi juga sebagai lingkungan psikologis yang memengaruhi bagaimana mahasiswa mengatur pembelajaran, memaknai pengalaman akademik, serta mengelola respons emosional mereka. Oleh karena itu, penelitian ini bertujuan untuk mengeksplorasi dinamika psikologis mahasiswa dalam penggunaan LMS, khususnya terkait adaptasi psikologis, motivasi belajar, dan kesejahteraan emosional dalam lingkungan pembelajaran daring. Penelitian ini menggunakan pendekatan kualitatif dengan metode Interpretative Phenomenological Analysis (IPA) untuk memahami bagaimana mahasiswa memaknai pengalaman belajar mereka secara subjektif. Data dikumpulkan melalui wawancara mendalam semi-terstruktur dengan mahasiswa yang aktif menggunakan LMS, kemudian dianalisis melalui proses membaca berulang, pengkodean, dan pengembangan tema psikologis utama. Hasil penelitian menunjukkan tiga tema utama, yaitu adaptasi psikologis dan regulasi diri, motivasi dan keterlibatan belajar, serta pengalaman emosional dan kesejahteraan psikologis. Mahasiswa awalnya mengalami kebingungan dan tantangan dalam mengelola pembelajaran secara mandiri, namun secara bertahap mengembangkan kemandirian, disiplin diri, dan kepercayaan diri akademik. LMS juga meningkatkan motivasi melalui fleksibilitas akses, fitur interaktif, dan umpan balik, meskipun tantangan teknis terkadang menimbulkan stres. Selain itu, LMS memberikan kenyamanan emosional, tetapi juga dapat memunculkan perasaan isolasi dan kelelahan digital. Implikasi penelitian ini menunjukkan bahwa LMS harus dipahami tidak hanya sebagai sistem teknologi, tetapi sebagai lingkungan psikologis yang memengaruhi perkembangan kognitif, emosional, dan motivasional mahasiswa.

Kata Kunci : LMS, adaptasi, motivasi, keterlibatan, kesejahteraan

Abstract

The rapid advancement of digital technology has transformed higher education, particularly through the implementation of Learning Management Systems (LMS) in online learning. LMS functions not only as a technological tool but also as a psychological environment that influences how students regulate their learning, interpret academic experiences, and manage emotional responses. Therefore, this study aims to explore students' psychological dynamics in LMS-based learning, focusing on psychological adaptation, learning motivation, and emotional well-being within digital learning environments. This study employed a qualitative approach using Interpretative Phenomenological Analysis (IPA) to understand how students subjectively construct meaning from their lived experiences in online learning. Data were collected through in-depth semi-structured interviews with students who actively used LMS and analyzed through iterative reading, coding, and thematic development to identify core psychological themes. The findings revealed three major themes: psychological adaptation and self-regulation, student motivation and engagement, and emotional experience and psychological well-being. Initially, students experienced confusion and difficulty managing independent learning; however, over time, they developed greater autonomy, self-discipline, and academic confidence. LMS enhanced motivation through flexible access, interactive features, and feedback, although technical challenges occasionally caused frustration and reduced engagement. Additionally, LMS provided emotional comfort and flexibility but also introduced risks of isolation and digital fatigue. The implications of this study suggest that LMS should be understood not only as an instructional technology but also as a psychological learning environment that shapes students' cognitive, emotional, and motivational development.

Keywords *: LMS, adaptation, motivation, engagement, well-being*

Copyright (c) 2026 Nabrisi Rohidet all

Received 19/02/2026 | Revised 31/03/2026 | Accepted 08/05/2026

Psikostudia: Jurnal Psikologi Published by Faculty of Social and Political Sciences, University of Mulawarman, Samarinda, East Kalimantan and This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International License.

INTRODUCTION

The rapid advancement of digital technology has significantly transformed higher education, particularly through the integration of online learning systems such as Learning Management Systems (LMS) (Rakha, 2025). While existing studies consistently highlight the benefits of LMS in enhancing accessibility, flexibility, and instructional efficiency, much of the literature remains predominantly descriptive and tends to emphasize technological affordances rather than critically examining their implications (Ordoñez-Avila et al., 2025). Prior research often assumes that LMS adoption inherently leads to improved learning outcomes, without sufficiently addressing the uneven psychological and behavioral responses of students within digital learning environments (Dake & Gyimah, 2025). Learning Management Systems has been widely recognized as a central infrastructure for organizing, delivering, and evaluating learning activities (Roa González et al., 2025).

Its features such as content management, communication tools, and learning analytics are frequently associated with increased engagement, self-directed learning, and academic performance (Tanjung et al., 2025). These claims are often presented in a linear and deterministic manner, overlooking the complexity of student experiences. In particular, previous studies rarely interrogate how LMS simultaneously enables and constrains learning processes, especially in terms of cognitive load, emotional strain, and the shifting demands of autonomy (Selcuk et al., 2025). The dominant narrative tends to overstate the effectiveness of LMS while underexploring its potential drawbacks (Yuniarty et al., 2025).

The psychological dimensions of LMS-based learning remain insufficiently theorized. Although factors such as motivation, engagement, and self-regulated learning are frequently mentioned, they are often treated as isolated variables rather than as part of an interconnected psychological system (Barbashova et al., 2025). Limited attention has been given to the tensions between flexibility and self-discipline, or between digital accessibility and feelings of isolation(Scholte & Strehler, 2025). This lack of critical integration results in fragmented explanations that fail to capture the dynamic interaction between technological structures and students' psychological conditions (Cohen et al., 2025). Another limitation in the literature lies in the lack of contextual sensitivity. Many studies adopt generalized models of LMS implementation without considering how differences in digital literacy, institutional support, and socio-cultural contexts shape student experiences (Nordin et al., 2025).

The assumption that LMS functions uniformly across settings becomes problematic, as it neglects the variability of student readiness and adaptability(Sides et al., 2025). This gap suggests the need for a more nuanced approach that situates LMS not merely as a neutral tool, but as a socio-technical environment that actively shapes learning behaviors and psychological responses (Li et al., 2025). Based on these limitations, this study identifies a critical research gap in the lack of integrative and critical perspectives on the psychological dynamics of LMS-based learning (Khan et al., 2025).

There is a need to move beyond fragmented and technology-centric analyses toward a more holistic understanding of how cognitive, emotional, and behavioral factors interact within digital learning environments (Elansari & Laachach, 2025).

LMS enables the collection and analysis of learning data, which allows educators to monitor student engagement, participation, and academic performance (Ntshebe et al., 2025). The theoretical contribution of this research lies in developing a more integrative framework that connects motivation, engagement, self-regulation, and psychological challenges within a unified perspective (Wachrakul et al., 2025). this study not only challenges the dominant optimistic assumptions about LMS effectiveness but also provides a more balanced and critical understanding of its role in shaping learning processes in higher education.

RESEARCH METHODS

This study employed a qualitative research design using Interpretative Phenomenological Analysis (IPA) to explore students' psychological adaptation, motivation, and emotional experiences within Learning Management System (LMS)-based online learning environments. IPA was selected due to its emphasis on examining how individuals construct personal meaning from lived experiences, particularly in relation to complex psychological processes such as self-regulation, emotional adjustment, and identity transformation in digitally mediated learning contexts.

The study involved 10 undergraduate students enrolled in higher education institutions who had actively used LMS platforms for at least one academic semester. The number of participants was determined based on IPA methodological recommendations, which prioritize depth of analysis over sample size. Participant selection was conducted using purposive sampling, guided by specific inclusion criteria: (1) active engagement in LMS-based learning, (2) prior experience with online or blended learning environments, and (3) willingness to articulate personal learning experiences in depth. This approach ensured the relevance, richness, and experiential depth of the data.

Data were collected through semi-structured in-depth interviews, allowing flexibility to explore participants' subjective experiences while maintaining thematic consistency. Each interview lasted approximately 45–60 minutes and was conducted either face-to-face or via online communication platforms, depending on participant availability. The interview protocol included open-ended questions focusing on psychological adaptation, emotional challenges, motivation, engagement, and self-regulated learning strategies in LMS environments. All interviews were audio-recorded with participant consent and subsequently transcribed verbatim to preserve the authenticity of participants' narratives.

Data collection continued until data saturation was achieved, indicated by the recurrence of themes and the absence of substantially new insights in subsequent interviews. Saturation was reached after the tenth participant, where emerging patterns became consistent and no additional conceptual variations were identified.

Data analysis followed the systematic procedures of IPA as outlined in qualitative research literature. The analytical process consisted of several iterative stages. First, transcripts were read and re-read to achieve deep familiarization with the data. Second, initial noting was conducted, involving detailed descriptive, linguistic, and conceptual comments on participants' narratives. Third, emergent themes were developed by identifying patterns of meaning within each individual case. Fourth, connections between themes were established to form superordinate themes, capturing broader psychological patterns. Fifth, a cross-case analysis was conducted to identify shared and divergent experiences across participants while preserving idiographic depth. This step ensured that both individual uniqueness and collective patterns were systematically represented.

Several strategies were employed to enhance the validity and trustworthiness of the findings. Credibility was ensured through prolonged engagement with the data and iterative analysis. Member checking was conducted by sharing preliminary interpretations with selected participants to confirm the accuracy of meaning representation. Reflexivity was maintained throughout the research process by critically reflecting on the researcher's assumptions and interpretative role. Audit trails were documented to ensure transparency in analytical decisions. Thematic coherence and consistency across cases further strengthened the dependability of the findings.

This methodological approach enables a rigorous and in-depth exploration of LMS-based learning as a psychological experience, providing a nuanced understanding of how students interpret, negotiate, and adapt to digital learning environments. The use of IPA allows the study to move beyond surface-level descriptions and generate theoretically meaningful insights into the interplay between technology and psychological processes in higher education.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Psychological Adaptation and Self-Regulation in LMS-Based Learning

The implementation of the Learning Management System (LMS) fundamentally transformed students' psychological adaptation processes, particularly in relation to how they perceived their role and responsibility within the learning environment (Chen et al., 2025). In traditional classroom settings, students often rely on structured schedules, direct supervision, and immediate instructor guidance to regulate their academic behaviors (Nguyen & Tuamsuk, 2025). However, LMS-based learning environments require students to function with greater autonomy, shifting the responsibility of managing learning activities from external regulation to internal psychological control (Langevin et al., 2025). This transition represents not only a technological shift but also a cognitive and psychological restructuring of the learning experience, where students must actively construct their own learning routines, prioritize tasks, and maintain consistent academic engagement without continuous external monitoring (Yang, 2025).

One of the most immediate psychological challenges experienced by students was the disruption of familiar learning patterns and routines. Many students initially experienced uncertainty when confronted with the flexibility offered by LMS environments (Shankar et al., 2025). While flexibility is often perceived as an advantage, it also introduces ambiguity, which can create psychological discomfort for students who are accustomed to rigid academic structures (Lee et al., 2025). Without fixed schedules and direct reminders from instructors, students were required to independently determine when and how to engage with learning materials. This increased autonomy demanded higher levels of executive functioning, including planning, decision-making, and task prioritization. As a result, students who lacked prior experience with self-directed learning experienced initial psychological resistance and difficulty adapting to the new learning environment (Hügle, 2025).

This early adaptation phase was often characterized by cognitive overload and psychological tension. Students needed to simultaneously learn how to use the LMS platform, understand course expectations, and develop new learning habits (Patel & Patel, 2025). The unfamiliarity of the digital interface, combined with academic demands, created a complex cognitive environment that required significant mental effort (Semeniv et al., 2025). Students reported experiencing confusion regarding assignment deadlines, navigation of course materials, and expectations for participation. This cognitive strain reflects the psychological burden associated with adapting to new technological learning systems, particularly when students lack adequate orientation or prior exposure to digital learning environments (Saudabayeva et al., 2025).

Despite these initial challenges, prolonged exposure to LMS environments gradually facilitated psychological adjustment and cognitive restructuring (Christianson et al., 2025). Students began to develop more effective strategies for managing their academic responsibilities, including scheduling dedicated study times, organizing digital learning resources, and setting personal academic goals (Nagayo & Raveendran, 2025). The structured features of LMS platforms, such as assignment deadlines, automated reminders, and progress tracking systems, provided external scaffolding that supported the development of internal self-regulation mechanisms (Taddio et al., 2025). Over time,

students became more comfortable navigating the LMS and demonstrated increased psychological stability in managing their academic tasks.

The development of self-regulation in LMS-based learning environments reflects a deeper psychological transformation in students' learning behaviors. Self-regulation involves the ability to control one's cognitive processes, emotional responses, and behavioral actions in pursuit of academic goals. LMS environments encourage this process by requiring students to actively engage in planning, monitoring, and evaluating their own learning progress. For example, students must decide when to access learning materials, how much time to allocate to specific tasks, and how to respond to feedback provided through the system. These processes require metacognitive awareness, which refers to the ability to reflect on one's own thinking and learning strategies.

As students became more familiar with LMS environments, many reported increased academic confidence and psychological independence (T. Wang et al., 2026). This confidence emerged from their ability to successfully manage academic responsibilities without relying on constant instructor intervention (Barmpari et al., 2026). Successfully completing assignments, meeting deadlines, and tracking academic progress reinforced students' sense of competence and self-efficacy. Self-efficacy, or the belief in one's ability to succeed in specific tasks, plays a critical role in shaping motivation and academic persistence (Arigo et al., 2026). LMS environments, by providing clear indicators of progress and achievement, contributed to strengthening students' psychological confidence and academic self-belief (Rakha, 2025).

LMS environments promoted the internalization of learning responsibility, which is a key component of psychological maturity in academic contexts (Fujianti et al., 2026). In traditional learning environments, responsibility is often externally enforced through physical presence, instructor supervision, and institutional structure (Cheng & Chen, 2026). However, LMS environments shift this responsibility inward, requiring students to regulate their own behavior based on internal motivation and personal commitment (Roa González et al., 2025). This shift represents a transition from externally regulated learning to internally regulated learning, which is associated with higher levels of academic autonomy and long-term learning effectiveness (Tanjung et al., 2025).

The psychological adaptation process also involved the development of new cognitive habits and behavioral routines (Selcuk et al., 2025). Students began to establish consistent patterns of accessing learning materials, reviewing course content, and completing assignments in a more organized manner. These routines reduced cognitive uncertainty and created a sense of psychological stability (Selcuk et al., 2025). Structured routines are essential for reducing mental fatigue and improving cognitive efficiency, as they minimize the need for constant decision-making. Over time, these routines became internalized, allowing students to engage with LMS environments more naturally and efficiently (Yuniarty et al., 2025).

Psychological adaptation to LMS environments was not uniform across all students. Individual differences in personality, prior technological experience, and cognitive readiness significantly influenced adaptation outcomes (Barbashova et al., 2025). Students with strong intrinsic motivation and prior exposure to digital technologies adapted more quickly and demonstrated higher levels of self-regulation. In contrast, students with limited digital literacy or lower academic confidence experienced prolonged adaptation difficulties (Scholte & Strehler, 2025). These students often required additional psychological effort to overcome technological barriers and develop effective learning strategies (Cohen et al., 2025).

Psychological stress was another important factor influencing students' adaptation to LMS environments. Some students reported experiencing anxiety related to managing multiple assignments, navigating unfamiliar digital systems, and maintaining consistent academic engagement without direct supervision (Nordin et al., 2025). This stress was often associated with uncertainty regarding academic expectations and fear of academic failure (Li et al., 2025). The absence of immediate instructor feedback sometimes amplified these psychological concerns, leading to increased cognitive and emotional strain (Khan et al., 2025).

The lack of physical learning environments influenced students' psychological perception of academic structure (Triana, 2025). Physical classrooms provide environmental cues that reinforce academic engagement, such as designated learning spaces, scheduled class times, and instructor presence. In contrast, LMS environments exist within students' personal spaces, which may contain numerous distractions (Elansari & Laachach, 2025). Students needed to develop psychological discipline to maintain academic focus in environments not originally designed for learning. This required the development of attentional control and cognitive discipline (Ooi & Othman, 2025).

Digital literacy also played a crucial role in facilitating psychological adaptation. Students who possessed strong digital skills experienced less cognitive friction when interacting with LMS platforms. They were able to navigate course materials efficiently, access academic resources quickly, and complete tasks with minimal frustration (McGiven et al., 2025). This reduced cognitive strain and allowed students to focus more on learning rather than technological navigation. In contrast, students with limited digital competence experienced higher levels of psychological fatigue and frustration, which negatively affected their learning experience (Han & Xia, 2025).

> *At the beginning, I felt completely lost… usually everything is already scheduled, but here I had to figure everything out by myself." (RB, 13-8-25, 1012-1043)*

> *"There was no clear structure like in class. I didn't know when I should study or start assignments unless I decided it myself." (TN, 14-08-2025, 160-190)*

Institutional support emerged as a critical factor in facilitating students' psychological adaptation. Orientation programs, technical training, and academic guidance helped students develop the skills and confidence necessary to use LMS effectively (Wachrakul et al., 2025). These support systems reduced uncertainty and provided psychological reassurance. When students felt supported by their institutions, they demonstrated greater psychological resilience and adaptability. This highlights the importance of institutional responsibility in supporting students' psychological transition to digital learning environments (Goswami & Ahmad, 2025).

The LMS environment contributed to the development of long-term psychological competencies that extend beyond academic contexts (Dunn et al., 2025). Students developed skills in time management, self-discipline, goal-setting, and independent problem-solving. These competencies are essential not only for academic success but also for professional and personal development ("Qiroati Method-Based Quran Learning Management: Bibliometric Analysis and Case Study," 2025). LMS-based learning environments therefore function as psychological training grounds that prepare students for the demands of modern digital and professional environments (Aziz & Younes, 2025).

The implementation of LMS represents a significant psychological transformation in students' learning experiences. LMS environments require students to shift from passive recipients of instruction to active managers of their own learning processes (Chen et al., 2025). This transformation fosters psychological independence, cognitive maturity, and self-regulated learning behaviors. While the adaptation process may initially involve psychological challenges, prolonged engagement with LMS environments strengthens students' psychological capacity for autonomous learning (Langevin et al., 2025). Therefore, LMS should be understood not only as technological systems but also as psychological ecosystems that shape students' cognitive, emotional, and behavioral development in profound and lasting ways (Yang, 2025).

.

Table 1. Psychological Adaptation and Self-Regulation

Theme	Meaning	Lived Experience Illustration
Psychological Adaptation and Self-Regulation in LMS-Based Learning	This theme refers to students' psychological adjustment to LMS environments, particularly in developing autonomy, time management, and responsibility for their own learning. LMS encourages students to regulate their behavior, plan academic tasks, and become more independent learners. This process strengthens self-discipline, self-efficacy, and academic confidence over time.	Students initially felt confused and struggled to manage their time without direct supervision. They experienced uncertainty in using the system and organizing assignments. Over time, students developed routines such as checking deadlines, planning study time, and monitoring progress. This helped them feel more confident, independent, and responsible for their learning.
Student Motivation and Engagement in LMS Environments	This theme describes how LMS influences students' motivation and participation. LMS features such as flexible access, feedback, and discussion forums increase students' sense of control and engagement. These features support intrinsic motivation, active participation, and stronger commitment to learning.	Students felt more motivated because they could access materials anytime and learn at their own pace. They actively participated in online discussions and felt encouraged by feedback and progress tracking. However, technical difficulties sometimes reduced motivation and caused frustration.
Emotional Experience and Psychological Well-Being in Online Learning	This theme explains students' emotional responses and psychological well-being in LMS learning. LMS provides flexibility and comfort but may also create feelings of isolation, stress, and digital fatigue. Emotional well-being depends on social interaction, system usability, and academic support.	Students felt comfortable studying from home and less anxious during participation. However, some students felt lonely due to limited interaction and experienced stress from screen time and workload. Others felt more confident expressing ideas in online discussions than in face-to-face classrooms.

Student Motivation and Engagement in LMS Environments

The implementation of the Learning Management System introduced significant changes in students' motivational structures and patterns of academic engagement (Shankar et al., 2025). Motivation, as a psychological construct, plays a central role in determining the intensity, direction, and persistence of students' learning behaviors (Patel & Patel, 2025). Within LMS-based learning environments, students were no longer passive recipients of instruction but became active participants responsible for initiating and sustaining their own engagement (Lee et al., 2025). This shift fundamentally altered the psychological relationship between students and the learning process. Students were required to rely more heavily on intrinsic

motivational resources, such as personal goals, curiosity, and internal commitment, rather than external motivators such as direct instructor supervision or classroom pressure (Semeniv et al., 2025).

One of the most influential factors contributing to increased student motivation was the accessibility and availability of learning materials (Saudabayeva et al., 2025). LMS platforms allowed students to access lecture materials, assignments, and supporting resources at any time and from any location. This level of accessibility created a sense of psychological freedom and autonomy, enabling students to align their learning activities with their individual cognitive rhythms and personal schedules (Nagayo & Raveendran, 2025). Unlike traditional classroom environments, where learning occurs within rigid time constraints, LMS environments empowered students to engage with content when they felt most cognitively prepared and psychologically receptive (Firnando & Suhesty, 2024). This autonomy enhanced students' intrinsic motivation by fostering a sense of ownership over their learning experience (Christianson et al., 2025).

The flexibility provided by LMS environments also contributed to increased psychological comfort and reduced performance pressure (Rakha, 2025). Students reported that having continuous access to learning materials allowed them to review content multiple times, which improved their understanding and reduced anxiety associated with missing important information (Taddio et al., 2025). This repeated exposure facilitated deeper cognitive processing and reinforced knowledge retention. From a motivational perspective, the ability to revisit materials strengthened students' confidence in their academic abilities, which in turn enhanced their willingness to engage more actively in learning tasks (Ordoñez-Avila et al., 2025).

Interactive features within LMS platforms also played a critical role in enhancing student engagement. Discussion forums, chat systems, and collaborative tools created virtual spaces where students could actively participate in academic discourse (Dake & Gyimah, 2025). These features encouraged students to express their ideas, ask questions, and contribute to collective knowledge construction. The opportunity to engage in academic discussions fostered a sense of intellectual involvement and psychological presence within the learning environment. This sense of participation strengthened students' emotional and cognitive connection to the learning process, which is essential for sustaining long-term motivation (Roa González et al., 2025).

LMS-based discussions created a psychologically safer environment for many students, particularly those who experienced anxiety or hesitation in traditional classroom settings (Tanjung et al., 2025). The asynchronous nature of LMS communication allowed students more time to organize their thoughts and formulate responses. This reduced the psychological pressure associated with immediate verbal responses in face-to-face environments (Selcuk et al., 2025). As a result, students who were previously less active in classroom discussions became more engaged and confident in expressing their perspectives. This increased participation reflects the role of LMS environments in reducing psychological barriers to academic engagement (Yuniarty et al., 2025).

Feedback mechanisms within LMS platforms also played a crucial role in shaping student motivation. Immediate access to instructor feedback, grades, and performance evaluations provided students with continuous information about their academic progress (Varney et al., 2025). This feedback functioned as a psychological reinforcement mechanism that guided students' learning behaviors (Barbashova et al., 2025). Positive feedback strengthened students' sense of competence and achievement, while constructive feedback provided direction for improvement. This continuous feedback loop helped students maintain focus and remain psychologically invested in their academic tasks (Scholte & Strehler, 2025).

The presence of progress indicators and performance tracking features further enhanced students' motivational engagement (Cohen et al., 2025). LMS dashboards that displayed completed tasks, pending assignments, and overall academic progress provided students with visual representations of their achievements (Nordin et al., 2025). These visual cues created a sense of accomplishment and progression, which reinforced students' motivation to continue engaging with learning activities. The ability to observe tangible progress strengthened students' goal orientation and encouraged sustained academic effort (Sides et al., 2025).

Students' perception of LMS usefulness also significantly influenced their motivational engagement. When students perceived LMS as an effective and supportive learning tool, they were more likely to engage actively with the system (Li et al., 2025). Perceived usefulness contributed to the development of positive psychological attitudes toward learning. Students who believed that LMS enhanced their academic efficiency and learning outcomes demonstrated higher levels of persistence and commitment (Khan et al., 2025). This perception strengthened the psychological connection between effort and achievement, which is essential for maintaining motivation (Triana, 2025).

LMS environments also influenced students' emotional engagement. Emotional engagement refers to students' affective responses to learning, including feelings of interest, enjoyment, and satisfaction. Students who experienced positive emotional interactions with LMS platforms reported higher levels of academic satisfaction and enthusiasm (Elansari & Laachach, 2025). The structured organization of LMS content, combined with interactive features, created a learning environment that was psychologically stimulating and intellectually rewarding (Ooi & Othman, 2025).

Motivational engagement was not universally positive among all students. Some students experienced motivational decline due to technological challenges and usability issues (McGiven et al., 2025). Difficulties in

navigating LMS platforms, accessing materials, or resolving technical errors created frustration and cognitive disruption (Han & Xia, 2025). These technological barriers interfered with students' psychological flow, reducing their willingness to engage with academic tasks. Frustration associated with technical difficulties weakened students' intrinsic motivation and contributed to disengagement (Ntshebe et al., 2025).

System complexity also influenced students' motivational experiences. LMS platforms that were perceived as overly complex or unintuitive created cognitive resistance (Wachrakul et al., 2025). Students needed to expend additional mental effort to understand system functions, which reduced the cognitive resources available for learning itself. This increased cognitive load negatively affected students' psychological engagement and reduced their overall learning motivation (Sabbar et al., 2025). In contrast, LMS platforms with user-friendly interfaces promoted smoother interaction and higher motivational engagement (Andrii et al., 2025).

> *"Now I feel like studying depends on me, not the lecturer… if I don't start, nothing happens." (SN, 25-08-25- 324-365)*

> *"In LMS, I have to push myself to stay engaged because no one is directly watching." (SN, 13-08-25, 209-240)*

Another important motivational factor was students' sense of control over their learning environment (Goswami & Ahmad, 2025). LMS platforms allowed students to make decisions about when, where, and how they engaged with academic materials. This sense of control strengthened students' psychological empowerment and internal motivation (Dunn et al., 2025). When students perceived themselves as active agents in their learning process, they demonstrated greater persistence, effort, and engagement (Martínez-Martínez et al., 2025). Psychological ownership of the learning process is a key driver of intrinsic motivation.

The role of LMS in supporting goal-directed behavior was also evident in students' motivational patterns (Yang, 2025). LMS environments provided clear academic structures, including assignment timelines, course modules, and performance expectations. These structures helped students develop goal-oriented learning behaviors (Langevin et al., 2025). Clear goals provided psychological direction and reduced uncertainty, allowing students to focus their cognitive energy on meaningful academic activities. Goal clarity strengthened students' motivation by providing a sense of purpose and direction (Shankar et al., 2025).

Social presence within LMS environments also influenced student motivation. Although LMS environments are digital, the presence of instructors and peers through communication tools created a sense of academic community (Lee et al., 2025). This sense of connection reduced feelings of isolation and strengthened students' emotional engagement. Students who felt psychologically connected to their instructors and peers demonstrated higher levels of motivation and academic persistence (Semeniv et al., 2025).

LMS implementation played a transformative role in shaping students' motivational and engagement patterns. LMS environments functioned not only as technological platforms but also as psychological systems that influenced students' cognitive, emotional, and behavioral engagement (Saudabayeva et al., 2025). By providing flexibility, feedback, interaction, and structured learning pathways, LMS platforms created conditions that supported intrinsic motivation and sustained engagement (Nagayo & Raveendran, 2025). However, the effectiveness of LMS in enhancing motivation depended on system usability, accessibility, and students' psychological readiness. When effectively implemented, LMS environments fostered active, motivated, and psychologically engaged learners capable of managing their own academic development (Christianson et al., 2025).

Table 2. Lived Experiences of Students in LMS-Based Online Learning

Theme	Meaning	Lived Experience Illustration
Psychological Adaptation and Self-Regulation in LMS-Based Learning	This theme refers to students' psychological adjustment to LMS learning, especially in developing autonomy, time management, and responsibility. LMS encourages students to regulate their own learning and become more independent. Over time, students develop self-discipline, confidence, and better learning routines.	Students initially felt confused and struggled to manage deadlines and learning schedules. After continued use, they created study routines, checked LMS regularly, and managed assignments more independently. This increased their confidence and sense of responsibility.
Student Motivation and Engagement in LMS Environments	This theme explains how LMS influences students' motivation and active participation. LMS flexibility, feedback, and interactive features increase students' sense of control, motivation, and engagement. Students become more involved and committed to their learning process.	Students felt more motivated because they could access materials anytime and learn at their own pace. They participated in discussion forums and felt encouraged by feedback. However, technical difficulties sometimes reduced their motivation.

Emotional Experience and Psychological Well-Being in Online Learning	This theme describes students' emotional responses to LMS learning, including both positive and negative experiences. LMS provides comfort and flexibility but may also create feelings of isolation, stress, and digital fatigue. Emotional well-being depends on interaction, support, and system usability.	Students felt more comfortable studying from home and less anxious during participation. However, some felt lonely due to limited social interaction and experienced stress from screen time and workload. Others felt more confident expressing ideas online.

Emotional Experience and Psychological Well-Being in Online Learning

The implementation of LMS-based online learning environments fundamentally reshaped students' emotional experiences by transforming the structure, rhythm, and psychological atmosphere of academic engagement (Fujianti et al., 2026). Unlike traditional classrooms that impose fixed schedules and physical presence, LMS environments introduced a flexible learning structure that allowed students to engage with academic content according to their personal readiness, emotional state, and cognitive capacity (Barmpari et al., 2026; Cheng & Chen, 2026). This flexibility generated a sense of emotional relief for many students, particularly those who previously experienced anxiety associated with commuting, rigid schedules, or public academic performance (Barmpari et al., 2026). The ability to access materials from familiar and comfortable environments, such as their homes, contributed to increased emotional safety and reduced situational stress. This emotional safety created a psychological buffer that enabled students to engage with learning in a more relaxed and internally regulated manner (Arigo et al., 2026).

From a psychological standpoint, emotional comfort plays a crucial role in supporting cognitive functioning and learning efficiency (Rakha, 2025). When students experience reduced stress and emotional tension, their cognitive resources can be allocated more effectively toward comprehension, reflection, and knowledge integration (Ordoñez-Avila et al., 2025). LMS environments allowed students to pause, revisit materials, and regulate their pace of engagement, which enhanced their sense of emotional control (Tanjung et al., 2025). This control reduced feelings of being overwhelmed, which are often associated with fast-paced, synchronous classroom environments. The ability to regulate learning exposure contributed to a more stable emotional state, enabling students to maintain sustained attention and deeper cognitive engagement over longer periods (Selcuk et al., 2025).

LMS environments enhanced students' emotional autonomy by allowing them to make independent decisions about when and how to engage with academic content (Yuniarty et al., 2025). This autonomy aligns with the psychological principles described by Edward Deci and Richard Ryan, who emphasized that autonomy is a fundamental psychological need that supports intrinsic motivation and emotional well-being (Scholte & Strehler, 2025). When students perceive that they have control over their learning process, they are more likely to experience emotional satisfaction and psychological ownership. LMS environments facilitated this sense of ownership by shifting responsibility from external enforcement to internal regulation, allowing students to develop a more personally meaningful relationship with their academic experience (Cohen et al., 2025).

The emotional benefits of LMS flexibility were accompanied by complex emotional challenges, particularly related to the reduction of immediate social interaction (Nordin et al., 2025). Traditional classroom environments naturally provide emotional cues, such as facial expressions, tone of voice, and spontaneous feedback, which help students feel socially connected and emotionally supported (Sides et al., 2025). In contrast, LMS environments often rely on asynchronous communication, which lacks the immediacy and emotional richness of face-to-face interaction. This absence of direct emotional feedback contributed to feelings of emotional distance and psychological detachment for some students, who reported feeling disconnected from both instructors and peers (Li et al., 2025).

This emotional detachment can be understood through the concept of social presence, which refers to the degree to which individuals feel socially and emotionally connected within a learning environment (Khan et al., 2025). When social presence is reduced, students may experience feelings of isolation, loneliness, and reduced emotional engagement. These emotional experiences are not merely social inconveniences but represent significant psychological factors that influence motivation, persistence, and overall academic well-being (Triana, 2025). The lack of spontaneous interaction in LMS environments reduced opportunities for emotional reassurance, peer validation, and shared academic experience, which are essential components of emotionally supportive learning environments (Elansari & Laachach, 2025).

The transition to LMS-based learning required students to develop new forms of emotional regulation. Emotional regulation refers to the ability to manage emotional responses to maintain psychological stability and functional performance (Ooi & Othman, 2025). In traditional classrooms, emotional regulation is often supported by structured schedules, instructor presence, and peer interaction (McGiven et al., 2025). However, in LMS environments, students were required to independently manage emotional challenges such as frustration, confusion, and academic uncertainty. This increased emotional responsibility required higher levels of psychological maturity and emotional self-awareness (Han & Xia, 2025).

The emotional burden associated with technological challenges also contributed to students' psychological

experiences (Ntshebe et al., 2025). Technical difficulties, such as unstable internet connections, unfamiliar system interfaces, and delayed feedback, created feelings of frustration and helplessness. These experiences disrupted emotional continuity and reduced students' sense of competence (Sabbar et al., 2025). According to social cognitive theory proposed by Albert Bandura, perceived competence and self-efficacy are essential for maintaining emotional stability and motivation. When students experienced technological barriers, their sense of competence was temporarily weakened, which negatively influenced their emotional state and academic confidence (Wachrakul et al., 2025).

Students also reported experiencing digital fatigue, which refers to emotional and cognitive exhaustion resulting from prolonged exposure to digital environments (Andrii et al., 2025). Continuous interaction with screens, reduced physical movement, and limited variation in sensory experience contributed to feelings of mental fatigue and emotional depletion (Goswami & Ahmad, 2025). Unlike traditional classrooms, which provide physical transitions between spaces and activities, LMS environments often involve prolonged sedentary engagement, which can intensify psychological fatigue. This fatigue affected students' emotional resilience and reduced their capacity to sustain prolonged academic engagement (Dunn et al., 2025).

Despite these challenges, LMS environments also created emotionally inclusive spaces for students who experienced social anxiety in traditional classrooms. Some students reported feeling more comfortable expressing their ideas in online discussion forums compared to face-to-face interactions (Martínez-Martínez et al., 2025). The asynchronous nature of LMS communication allowed students to reflect before responding, reducing performance pressure and fear of immediate judgment ("Qiroati Method-Based Quran Learning Management: Bibliometric Analysis and Case Study," 2025). This created a psychologically safer environment for students who required more time to organize their thoughts and regulate their emotional responses before participating (Aziz & Younes, 2025).

This phenomenon reflects the role of LMS environments in reducing socially induced anxiety and promoting emotional accessibility (Nguyen & Tuamsuk, 2025). Students who previously remained silent in physical classrooms found new opportunities for expression in digital spaces. This increased participation contributed to improved emotional confidence and strengthened students' sense of academic belonging (Langevin et al., 2025). The LMS environment thus functioned not only as a technological platform but also as an emotionally adaptive space that accommodated diverse psychological needs and communication styles (Yang, 2025).

> *I feel calmer because I can study when I am actually ready. In a classroom, I sometimes have to stay focused even when I am not in a good condition* (RN, 17-09-25, 23-45)

> "*If I do not understand something, I can revisit the material without feeling embarrassed. That really helps me focus.*" (BR, 09-10-25, 34-65)

> *Sometimes I feel alone, like I am studying by myself without classmates. There is no direct interaction like before*." (TY, 12=-08-25, 76,89)

The emotional experience of LMS learning was also shaped by students' ability to develop new psychological coping strategies (Shankar et al., 2025). Over time, many students learned to manage emotional challenges by establishing structured routines, creating personal learning schedules, and developing self-monitoring habits (Lee et al., 2025). These strategies helped students regain emotional stability and reduce feelings of uncertainty. The process of adapting emotionally to LMS environments reflects psychological resilience, which refers to the ability to adjust positively to changing and challenging conditions (Patel & Patel, 2025).

From a socio-cultural perspective, emotional well-being in learning is closely connected to social interaction and collaborative meaning-making, as emphasized by Lev Vygotsky (Semeniv et al., 2025). According to this perspective, learning is not only a cognitive process but also an emotional and social experience (Rakha, 2025). The reduced immediacy of interaction in LMS environments required students to reconstruct new forms of emotional connection through digital communication (Ordoñez-Avila et al., 2025). Although these connections differed from traditional interactions, students gradually adapted by developing new expectations and communication habits (Roa González et al., 2025).

Institutional support also played a critical role in shaping students' emotional well-being in LMS environments (Tanjung et al., 2025). Clear communication, timely feedback, and structured course organization helped reduce emotional uncertainty and increased students' sense of psychological security (Selcuk et al., 2025). When students perceived that instructors were present, responsive, and supportive, their emotional engagement increased significantly. This highlights the importance of instructional presence in maintaining emotional stability in digital learning environments (Yuniarty et al., 2025).

The emotional adaptation to LMS environments contributed to long-term psychological development (Barbashova et al., 2025). Students who successfully navigated emotional challenges developed stronger emotional regulation skills, increased independence, and improved psychological flexibility (Scholte & Strehler, 2025). These psychological competencies are essential for lifelong learning, particularly in increasingly digital and autonomous educational and professional environments (Cohen et al., 2025). The emotional challenges associated with LMS learning thus functioned as developmental experiences that

strengthened students' psychological capacity (Nordin et al., 2025).

LMS-based online learning environments produced complex emotional outcomes that included both psychological benefits and challenges (Sides et al., 2025). While LMS provided emotional comfort, autonomy, and inclusive participation opportunities, it also introduced risks related to emotional isolation, fatigue, and reduced social presence (Li et al., 2025). The emotional impact of LMS was not inherently positive or negative but depended on students' psychological readiness, coping strategies, and institutional support. Therefore, LMS environments should be understood not only as technological systems but as psychological ecosystems that shape emotional well-being, emotional development, and the broader psychological experience of learning (Khan et al., 2025).

Table 3. Emotional Themes and Students' Lived Experiences in LMS Learning

Theme	Meaning	Lived Experience Illustration
Emotional Comfort and Psychological Safety	This theme refers to students' feelings of comfort, reduced anxiety, and emotional safety in LMS environments. LMS flexibility allows students to learn in familiar spaces and at their own pace, which supports emotional stability and confidence. This increases emotional control and reduces academic pressure.	Students felt more relaxed studying from home and less anxious compared to face-to-face classes. They appreciated being able to review materials anytime, which helped them feel more prepared and emotionally secure.
Emotional Challenges and Psychological Strain	This theme refers to negative emotional experiences such as isolation, stress, frustration, and digital fatigue. Limited social interaction and technological challenges reduced emotional connection and increased psychological strain. These challenges affected students' emotional engagement and well-being.	Some students felt lonely and disconnected from peers and instructors. Others experienced stress due to technical problems, heavy workload, and prolonged screen time, which caused emotional exhaustion.
Emotional Growth and Psychological Resilience	This theme refers to students' emotional adaptation and development of coping strategies in LMS learning. Over time, students developed emotional regulation, confidence, and resilience in managing online learning challenges. LMS also created inclusive spaces for students with social anxiety.	Students gradually adapted by creating routines and managing their emotions more effectively. Some students felt more confident expressing ideas in online discussions than in traditional classrooms, which improved their emotional confidence.

To further clarify the psychological impact of LMS-based online learning, this study identified three major themes that reflect students' adaptation, motivation, and emotional experiences. These themes illustrate how LMS environments influence students' self-regulation, engagement, and psychological well-being through their lived experiences. Each theme highlights not only the psychological processes involved but also the practical implications for improving digital learning environments. The table below presents a summary of the key themes, including their explanations, case examples derived from students' experiences, and the corresponding research implications for supporting effective and psychologically sustainable LMS-based learning.

Table 4. Psychological Themes and Implications in LMS-Based Learning

Aspects	Explanation	Case Examples	Research Implications
Psychological Adaptation	Initial Disorientation	Cognitive overload, confusion	Disruption of habitual learning structure
	Self-Regulation Development	Time management, discipline	Internalization of learning control
Motivation & Engagement	Autonomy-Driven Motivation	Flexibility, ownership	Shift to intrinsic motivation
	System-Dependent Engagement	Feedback, interaction	External scaffolding of motivation
Emotional Experience	Emotional Ambivalence	Comfort vs isolation	Dual nature of LMS environment
	Coping & Resilience	Emotional regulation	Development of psychological endurance

Reconceptualizing LMS as a Psychological System

The findings reposition Learning Management Systems (LMS) as socio-technical environments that actively shape and produce students' psychological states rather than merely serving as neutral delivery tools for instructional content. This perspective challenges dominant technology-centric frameworks by emphasizing the reciprocal interaction between human cognition, emotion, and digital infrastructures. LMS environments are thus conceptualized as dynamic psychological spaces where emotional regulation, motivation, and identity formation are continuously negotiated, requiring a more integrative theoretical lens that combines educational psychology, human-computer interaction, and socio-cultural learning theories.

Dynamic Model of Self-Regulation Formation

The study advances self-regulated learning theory by demonstrating that self-regulation is not a static individual trait but an adaptive process that emerges in response to contextual demands within digital learning environments. Students develop regulatory strategies such as time management, emotional control, and self-monitoring through ongoing interaction with the structural features and constraints of LMS platforms. This finding introduces a developmental and context-sensitive model of self-regulation, where agency is co-constructed through the interplay between individual capacities and environmental conditions, thereby extending existing theoretical models that primarily emphasize internal cognitive processes.

Emotional Ambivalence as a Core Construct

The results highlight emotional ambivalence as an inherent and central characteristic of LMS-based learning, in which positive experiences such as autonomy and flexibility coexist with negative states, including isolation and fatigue. This duality challenges linear and unidimensional models of emotion in educational psychology by suggesting that emotional experiences in digital contexts are simultaneously enabling and constraining. The recognition of emotional ambivalence calls for more complex theoretical frameworks capable of capturing the fluid, contradictory, and context-dependent nature of students' emotional lives in technologically mediated learning environments. This study concludes that the implementation of Learning Management Systems (LMS) in online learning represents not only a technological transformation but also a significant psychological process that shapes students' adaptation, motivation, and emotional well-being. Students initially experienced psychological challenges such as confusion, reduced structure, and emotional uncertainty; however, over time they developed self-regulation, autonomy, and academic confidence through continuous interaction with LMS environments. LMS flexibility, feedback, and interactive features enhanced students' motivation and engagement, while emotional experiences reflected both positive outcomes, such as comfort and increased confidence, and challenges, including isolation and digital fatigue. These findings imply that LMS should be designed not only for instructional efficiency but also to support students' psychological needs, including emotional support, clear structure, and user-friendly interfaces to promote sustainable and effective learning. Future research is recommended to explore the long-term psychological impact of LMS across diverse educational contexts and student characteristics, particularly by integrating mixed-method approaches to examine the relationship between psychological adaptation, academic performance, and digital learning resilience.

CONCLUSSION

The implementation of Learning Management Systems (LMS) in online learning represents not only a technological transformation in higher education but also a psychological transformation that shapes students' adaptation, motivation, engagement, and emotional well-being. Initially, students experienced disorientation, cognitive pressure, and challenges in managing independent learning; however, through continuous adaptation, they gradually developed self-regulation, academic discipline, learning autonomy, and stronger academic confidence. LMS environments also created flexibility, accessibility, and participatory spaces that enhanced intrinsic motivation and learning engagement, although they simultaneously generated emotional ambivalence in the form of comfort alongside social isolation and digital fatigue. These findings emphasize that LMS should be understood as a psychological ecosystem that simultaneously influences students' cognitive, emotional, and behavioral development, implying that digital learning systems in higher education should be designed by considering emotional support, usability, meaningful social interaction, and the sustainability of students' psychological well-being. Future research is recommended to investigate the long-term psychological impact of LMS across diverse educational contexts through mixed-method approaches in order to develop a more comprehensive understanding of the relationship between psychological adaptation, academic performance, and students' digital resilience.

REFERENCES

Alves, A. P. C. C., & Strehlau, V. I. (2025). It's no skin off your nose: why do consumers tattoo brands? *Journal of Business Research*, *199*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2025.115508

Andrii, A., Shutieiev, I., Poltoratska, H., Melnyk, A., & Dolhopolova, N. (2025). RESEARCH LANDSCAPE OF E-LEARNING IN PHYSICAL EDUCATION: 2020–2025. *Journal of Vasyl Stefanyk Precarpathian National University*, *12*(3), 83–100. https://doi.org/10.15330/jpnu.12.3.83-100

Arigo, J. D., Juviler, P., Levatino, E., Dave, Y. A., Lawrence, A. E., Leonard, M. S., Melucci, A. D., Ormond, D. G., Vella, M. A., Qi, Y., Peyre, S. E., & Wakeman, D. S. (2026). Effectiveness of a Formal Surgical Residency Quality

and Safety Curriculum. *Journal of Surgical Education*, *83*(2). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsurg.2025.103816

Aziz, N., & Younes, R. (2025). Educators perception on integrating virtual reality in the classrooms. *Contemporary Educational Technology*, *17*(4). https://doi.org/10.30935/cedtech/17407

Barbashova, I., Horina, O., Vakhniak, N., Vitiuk, V., & Serhaniuk, L. (2025). Psychological and Pedagogical Vectors of Distance Learning in Higher Education: A Framework for Integrated Management and Support. *European Journal of Social Science Education and Research*, *12*(2), 99–111. https://doi.org/10.26417/r8v88753

Barmpari, A., Pavlopoulos, I., Voyiatzaki, E., & Hatzilygeroudis, I. (2026). A Virtual Tutoring System with Gamification, LLM-Guided NPCs, and Online Tutor Support †. *Applied Sciences (Switzerland)*, *16*(2). https://doi.org/10.3390/app16020899

Chen, L., Li, Y., Zhang, A., Zhu, M., Wei, J., & Jiang, Z. (2025). A 20-year evaluation of the Total Nutritional Therapy (TNT) course in China. *Chinese Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, *33*(5), 326–330. https://doi.org/10.3760/cma.j.cn115822-20240619-00103

Cheng, P.-H., & Chen, L.-W. (2026). Effectiveness of Pre-Test and Post-Test Strategies in Programming Education: A Five-Year Longitudinal Study. *Computer Applications in Engineering Education*, *34*(1). https://doi.org/10.1002/cae.70139

Christianson, J., Frank, E., Keating, S., Boyer, S., & Chickering, M. (2025). Rapid implementation of open-access pandemic education for global frontline healthcare workers. *BMC Research Notes*, *18*(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s13104-025-07088-4

Cohen, T. N., Nuckols, T. K., Berdahl, C. T., Seferian, E. G., McCleskey, S. G., Henreid, A. J., Leang, D. W., Lupera, M. A., & Coleman, B. L. (2025). Training Hospital Nurses to Write Detailed Narratives and Describe Contributing Factors in Incident Reports: The SAFER Education Program. *Joint Commission Journal on Quality and Patient Safety*, *51*(4), 305–311. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcjq.2025.01.002

Dake, D. K., & Gyimah, E. (2025). USING MACHINE LEARNING ALGORITHMS TO CLUSTER AND ANALYSE STUDENTS' ACCEPTANCE OF MOBILE LEARNING MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS. *Informing Science*, *28*. https://doi.org/10.28945/5596

Dunn, N., LeBlanc, C., & Do, V. (2025). The Culture of Academic Medicine Initiative (CAMi): Advancing Systems-Level Wellbeing in Medical Education Through a National Change Management Approach. *Medical Science Educator*, *35*(5), 2639–2645. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40670-025-02465-x

Elansari, H., & Laachach, A. (2025). Exploring factors influencing perceived e-learning quality in foreign language education: insights from Morocco. *Quality Assurance in Education*, *33*(3), 393–409. https://doi.org/10.1108/QAE-09-2024-0198

Firnando, J. , & Suhesty, A. (2024). Kecemasan Akademik Pada Mahasiswa ditinjau dari impostor syndrome dan Ketangguhan Akademik. *Edukasi: Jurnal Pendidikan*, *22*(2), 177–193.https://doi.org/10.31571/edukasi.v22i2.7509

Fujianti, L. N., Munawir, M., Rachman, I., & Matsumoto, T. (2026). Analyzing community perspectives on BSF-Based organic waste treatment: Evidence from survey data and text mining in Bandung, Indonesia. *Multidisciplinary Science Journal*, *8*(1). https://doi.org/10.31893/multiscience.2026116

Goswami, T., & Ahmad, A. (2025). A systematic review of school-based disaster risk reduction strategies in India. *Evaluation and Program Planning*, *112*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.evalprogplan.2025.102646

Han, G., & Xia, F. (2025). Sentiment analysis and topic modeling in the context of scientific integrity: implications for teaching and research in higher education. *Discover Applied Sciences*, *7*(8). https://doi.org/10.1007/s42452-025-07441-z

Hügle, T. (2025). Digital treatment of fibromyalgia. *Zeitschrift fur Rheumatologie*, *84*(10), 786–797. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00393-025-01738-5

Khan, S., Srivel, V., & Wong, M. (2025). Enhancing Engagement in a Learning Management System through a Raffle Ticket System. *Teaching and Learning Inquiry*, *13*. https://doi.org/10.20343/teachlearninqu.13.27

Langevin, R., Mohanraj, D., Shah, L.-B., Sabin, J., Wood, B. R., Pratt, W., Weibel, N., & Hartzler, A. L. (2025). Envisioning the future of primary care: Intervention strategies to support patient-centered communication feedback technology. *Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association*, *32*(11), 1693–1705. https://doi.org/10.1093/jamia/ocaf143

Lee, Y., Shin, J.-S., Park, W. B., & Yoon, H. B. (2025). Breaking the mould: stakeholder insights into the shift from 2 + 4 to a 6-Year continuous medical curriculum in South Korea. *BMC Medical Education*, *25*(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s12909-025-07998-8

Li, Y., Zhang, C., Xu, H., Yang, Y., Lu, H., & Deng, L. (2025). Strategies for Automated Identification of Food Waste in University Cafeterias: A Machine Vision Recognition Approach. *Applied Sciences (Switzerland)*, *15*(9). https://doi.org/10.3390/app15095036

Martínez-Martínez, A., Gómez-Cambronero, Á., Montoliu, R., & Remolar, I. (2025). Towards the Adoption of Recommender Systems in Online Education: A Framework and Implementation. *Big Data and Cognitive Computing*, *9*(10). https://doi.org/10.3390/bdcc9100259

McGiven, L., Poland, K., Reinking, C., & Müller, M. F. (2025). Thirsty Earth: A game-based approach to interdisciplinary water resource education. *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences*, *29*(13), 2961–2974. https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-29-2961-2025

Moise, R., & Anton, A. (2025). Balancing Precarity and Empowerment: A Discourse Analysis of Women

Communication Freelancers' Narratives in Online Media. In *Gender and Freelancing in the Communication Industries: Experiences, Practices, Discourses* (pp. 21–38). Emerald Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1108/978-1-83549-152-220251002

Nagayo, A. M., & Raveendran, A. V. (2025). Artificial Intelligence and Its Implementation in Diabetes Management and Education. *SSRG International Journal of Electronics and Communication Engineering*, *12*(12), 114–133. https://doi.org/10.14445/23488549/IJECE-V12I12P110

Nguyen, L. T., & Tuamsuk, K. (2025). Digital services as catalysts for lifelong learning in Thai higher education institutions. *Journal of Academic Librarianship*, *51*(6). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.acalib.2025.103152

Nordin, R. M., Jafri, N. S., Brahim, J., & Fajarianto, O. (2025). Implementation of Building Information Modelling (BIM) Education for Construction Management Students: The Case of Malaysia. *Journal of Design and Built Environment*, *2025*(Special Issue V), 1–10. https://www.scopus.com/inward/record.uri?eid=2-s2.0-105003538490&partnerID=40&md5=5d826899fa675396b613b02c9e315c2e

Ntshebe, O., Anoke, S., Batidzirai, J. M., Guure, C., Muganda, B., Pagano, M., Semakula, M., & Larson, E. (2025). Building Public Health Quantitative Methods Capacity and Networks in sub-Saharan Africa: An Evaluation of a Faculty Training Program. *Global Health Science and Practice*, *13*(1). https://doi.org/10.9745/GHSP-D-22-00507

Ooi, L. H., & Othman, J. (2025). Bridging theory and practice: how open distance learning fosters ESL teachers' professional growth in Malaysia. *Asian Association of Open Universities Journal*, *20*(1), 82–97. https://doi.org/10.1108/AAOUJ-08-2024-0105

Ordoñez-Avila, R., Meza, J., & Ventura, S. (2025). Mining autonomous student patterns score on LMS within online higher education. *PeerJ Computer Science*, *11*. https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj-cs.2855

Patel, R. A., & Patel, D. T. (2025). Leopard Seal K-Anonymity Privacy-Preserved Hyper-Ledger Blockchain Integrated with Deep Learning for Student Mark Management. *SN Computer Science*, *6*(8). https://doi.org/10.1007/s42979-025-04494-0

Qiroati Method-Based Quran Learning Management: Bibliometric Analysis and Case Study. (2025). *International Journal of Learning, Teaching and Educational Research*, *24*(10), 30–57. https://doi.org/10.26803/ijlter.24.10.2

Rakha, A. H. (2025). Promoting online teaching through active learning strategies: applications and innovations. *Frontiers in Education*, *10*. https://doi.org/10.3389/feduc.2025.1546208

Roa González, J., Sánchez-Sánchez, N., Seoane, I., & Díaz Palencia, J. L. (2025). Challenges and perspectives in the evolution of distance and online education towards higher technological environments. *Cogent Education*, *12*(1). https://doi.org/10.1080/2331186X.2024.2447168

Sabbar, S. D., Kadir, A. R., Nohong, M., Mannan, A., Alkanan, O. M. T., & Abd Anter, S. (2025). Adoption of Mobile Learning: Education's Use of App-Based Learning Management Systems & Ethical Implementation. *International Journal of Interactive Mobile Technologies*, *19*(18), 175–188. https://doi.org/10.3991/ijim.v19i18.57611

Saudabayeva, D., Uspanov, Z., Baliyeva, Z., & Zhiyenbayeva, Z. (2025). A digital-intercultural competence model for educational managers: toward sustainable educational leadership in Kazakhstan. *Scientific Reports*, *15*(1). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-025-30417-3

Scholte, J. B. J., & Strehler, J. C. (2025). Weekly flipped classroom modules in intensive care medical training: Feasibility and acceptance. *Journal of Critical Care*, *86*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcrc.2024.154986

Selcuk, M. S., Gokdemir, Y., Eralp, E. E., Ergenekon, A. P., Yegit, C. Y., Yanaz, M., Gulieva, A., Yuksel Kalyoncu, M., Karabulut, S., Cakar, N. M., Uzunoglu, B., Tastan, G., Kocaman, D., Keniş-Coşkun, O. K., Gorgun, I., Messier, R., Mertz, P., Karakoç, F., & Karadağ, B. (2025). Implementation of Cystic Fibrosis Responsibility, Independence, Self-Care, Education Program Enhances Cystic Fibrosis Knowledge in Limited Resource Country: Results From a Randomized Controlled Trial. *Pediatric Pulmonology*, *60*(2). https://doi.org/10.1002/ppul.27506

Semeniv, S., Antoniv, M., Dzhemiliev, A., Kochis, M., Shabat, G., Huivaniuk, I., Danylyshyn, M., Kuryk, M., Ivanykovych, T., Chorna, V., Bielichenko, S., Potapova, K., Nikiforchin, A., Kizub, D., & Melnitchouk, N. (2025). Education in Adversity: Enhancing Surgical Training Through the "Principles of Surgery" Course in Wartime Ukraine. *World Journal of Surgery*, *49*(12), 3410–3418. https://doi.org/10.1002/wjs.70159

Shankar, M., B Loeliger, K., Ager, E., Arnal, M., Johnson, S., Matticks, Z., Nakamura, E., Begna, H., & Schwarz, E. B. (2025). Trauma inquiry and response in sexual and reproductive health settings: collaborative learning among clinicians. *Reproductive Health*, *22*(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s12978-025-02135-6

Sides, E., Lecky, D. M., Taborn, E., O'Neill, L., & Cooper, E. (2025). Preventing and managing urinary tract infections: Exploring interventions and strategies implemented by NHS commissioning organisations in English primary care, 2017–2022. *Journal of Infection Prevention*, *26*(3), 120–128. https://doi.org/10.1177/17571774251330536

Taddio, A., Ilersich, A. N. T., McMurtry, C. M., Constantin, K., Bucci, L. M., Gudzak, V., Logeman, C., Crown, N., Kohli, M., LeDrew, E., & MacDonald, N. E. (2025). Development and refinement of an online CARD (Comfort Ask Relax Distract) course for organizations and providers delivering vaccinations and other needle procedures. *Human Vaccines and Immunotherapeutics*, *21*(1). https://doi.org/10.1080/21645515.2025.2491853

Tanjung, Y. I., Festiyed, F., Diliarosta, S., Asrizal, A., Arsih, F., & Fadillah, M. A. (2025). Developing the Physics Learning Management System (PLMS) to Support Blended Learning Models. *International Journal of Information and Education Technology*, *15*(1), 18–29. https://doi.org/10.18178/ijiet.2025.15.1.2214

Triana, Y. (2025). Improving Linguistics Skill on EFL Students Using Learning Management System: A Critical Literature Review. *Journal of Ethnic and Cultural Studies*, *12*(3), 199–223. https://doi.org/10.29333/ejecs/1864

Varney, C. N., Seals, S. R., & Wade, A. (2025). Pivoting physics labs online. *Physics Education*, *60*(2). https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6552/adaffa

Wachrakul, C., Thepwongsa, I., Nonjui, P., & Muthukumar, R. (2025). Development and Evaluation of An Online Motivational Interviewing Course for Diabetes Care in Primary Health Care Teams. *National Journal of Community Medicine*, *16*(9), 916–924. https://doi.org/10.55489/njcm.160920255768

Wang, T., Dai, T., Yang, L., Osmanova, L., & Hwarari, D. (2026). Digital innovation in higher education: comparing approaches in developed and developing countries. *Frontiers in Education*, *10*. https://doi.org/10.3389/feduc.2025.1723087

Wang, X. (2025). Exploring the relationship between destination image, tourist satisfaction, and loyalty among overseas visitors to Taishan using structural equation modelling. *Acta Psychologica*, *260*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actpsy.2025.105533

Yang, J. (2025). Fuzzy comprehensive evaluation system and decision support system for learning management of higher education online courses. *Scientific Reports*, *15*(1). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-025-01782-w

Yuniarty, Y., Lohannes, L., Lohansen, L., & Hartono, F. (2025). Optimizing learning management system using UTAUT model: Environment, compatibility, material content, and interactivity for enhanced usability. *Multidisciplinary Science Journal*, *7*(3). https://doi.org/10.31893/multiscience.2025111